

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/068,337 | HUTCHISON ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Lilian Vo | 2195 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment - After Non-Final Rejection

(1) Lilian Vo. (3) _____.

(2) Marilyn Dawkins. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2005

Time: 12:15pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 13, 23, 25 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney authorized for an examiner's amendment to delete claims 11, 23, 35 and amend claims 1, 13 and 25.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)